ORDINANCE NO. 652

AN ORDINANCE ADOPTING A FIVE YEAR SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE CITY OF MEXICO BEACH; PROVIDING FOR CONFLICT WITH OTHER ORDINANCES; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, the City Council is required to adopt a five year schedule of capital improvements pursuant to state law and the City's Comprehensive Plan; now therefore,

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA:

<u>Section 1. Five Year Schedule of Capital Improvements.</u> The Five Year Schedule of Capital Improvements for the City of Mexico Beach is contained in Exhibit "A" to this Ordinance and is hereby adopted.

<u>Section 2. Conflict.</u> If any portion of this Ordinance, including attachments to this Ordinance, is in conflict with any portion of any other Ordinance, then the provisions of this Ordinance shall govern.

<u>Section 3. Severability.</u> If any section, sentence, clause, or phrase of this Ordinance, including attachments to this Ordinance, is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, then said holding shall in no way affect the validity of the remaining portions of this Ordinance.

<u>Section 3.</u> This ordinance shall take effect upon passage.

INTRODUCED on September 26, 2016 and ADOPTED at the Regular Meeting of the City Council on October 11, 2016.

CITY OF MEXICO BEACH, FLORIDA

Tom Bailey, Mayor

Adrian Welle, City Clerk



City of Mexico Beach

2017-2021

Five-Year

Capital

Improvement

Plan



City of Mexico Beach Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan 2017-2021

Table of Contents

| able of Contents | ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | |
|----------------------|--|-----|
| Policy Statement | | į |
| Summary of All Capit | al Projects | 1-3 |
| Revenue and Expend | iture Projections | |
| Public Works | | 1-2 |
| Public Safety | | 1-3 |
| Administration | | 1-4 |
| Detail Revenue and E | xpenditures Projects | |
| Public Works | | |
| Public Safety | | |
| Administration | | |
| | | |

Policy Statement

A Capital Improvement Program is a statement of intent by the City Officials who establish a program or plan for the utilization of the financial resources of the City over a specified period of time to accomplish specified objectives related to capital expenditures and City services. The plan is a planning tool designed to be altered annually as conditions warrant.

A long-range capital improvement plan provides a long-range viewpoint for the community to define needed projects and expenditure plans, select those projects deemed most important in comparison to all others, determine when such projects should occur and anticipate what impact such expenditures will have on the community and especially the City's financial condition. Some of the major advantages to developing a long-range capital expenditure plan are as follows:

- 1. Develop a stable tax rate eliminating unnecessary fluctuations which can cause hardships to the to the taxpayer and the City government;
- 2. Provide adequate time to plan and coordinate construction projects and expenditure plans, eliminating costly duplification of efforts;
- 3. Provide a perspective of how projects or plans related to each other and what the ultimate objectives are;
- 4. Provide adequate time to search for alternate methods of financing, including debt issue and grants;
- 5. Provide time to acquire land and right-of-way where needed;
- 6. Allow more public knowledge and input into the development of plans for the community;
- 7. Reduce spur-of-the moment decisions which may have major economic impact on the community, often a result of special interest groups; and
- 8. Assist in planning efforts of other public utilities, companies, agencies and businesses.

In order to develop a preliminary plan, certain philosophies, assumptions and guidelines had to be developed. The 'pay-as-you-go' philosophy is based upon revolving funds where money is saved for specific projects until enough money is accumulated to pay for the project. The theory is that the community can save on interest payments by avoiding debt issues. This theory has three major deficiencies; the first being a very even expenditure pattern rarely existing in communities. The second deficiency is the assumption of a zero inflationary economy where projects would not increase in costs over time. The last dificiency relates to the basic philosophy which requires the taxpayers to pay for services in advance of receiving them. Some taxpayers would actually pay for services they would never receive if they moved out of the community, for example, before the construction or purchase of such capital projects.

The 'pay-as-you-go' philosophy is based upon debt financing of capital improvements, whereby those citizens paying for such projects use them while they are paying for them. A major street project is paid for by issuing notes or bonds and constructed as needed. Citizens would, thus, use the facility for the time it is being paid.

The major concern with using the 'pay-as-you-go' approach is debt limits. Although the current debt level of the City is average, it is desirable to maintain a resonable cushion for emergency expenditures. Thus, a planning limit of sixty percent of allowable debt will be used as a limiting factor in developing future capital expenditure plans.

The plan being submitted does not embrace either the 'pay-as-you-go' or 'pay-as-you-use' philosophies exclusively, but instead shows some combination of both with a heavier reliance on the 'pay-as-you-use' or debt issue capital financing plan.

Many guidelines or evaluation criteria were used in developing this plan. By definition, a capital expenditure is limited to those projects which cost more than \$5,000.00 and have a life expectancy of more than two years. Projects were evaluated based upon amount of use, prior commitments, age and condition of facilities, and expenses versus benefits. Priorities were assigned based upon current conditions and estimated costs. The priorities given to a project are subject to change as conditions warrant. This plan does not authorize any expenditures, but does provide a plan of expenditures for the development of each year's budget.

Mell Smigielski, Administrator



City of Mexico Beach Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan

Summary

Proposed Funding Sources Summary:

| Operations | \$458,898 | \$230,000 | \$490,000 | \$296,000 | \$254,000 | \$1,728,898 |
|---|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Bonds | \$33,046 | \$39,000 | \$39,000 | \$39,000 | \$39,000 | \$189.046 |
| Federal/State Grants/Cost Share and Aid | \$2,800,000 | \$2,747,309 | | G2 | \$0 | \$6,047,309 |
| Impact Fees | \$95,363 | \$115,500 | \$57,500 | \$104,000 | \$52,000 | \$424,363 |
| Transfers from other funds | \$600,000 | \$750,000 | \$100,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$1,550,000 |
| Total Proposed Funding Sources | \$3,987,307 | \$3,881,809 | \$ 1,186,500 | \$489,000 | \$395,000 | \$9,939,616 |

Proposed Project/Vehicle/Equipment Summary:

| Public Works | \$3,278,865 | \$3,727,309 | \$1,090,000 | \$335,000 | \$290,000 | \$8,721,174 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Public Safety | \$108,442 | \$154,500 | \$96,500 | \$143,000 | \$91,000 | \$593,442 |
| Administration | \$600,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,000 | \$14,000 | \$625,000 |
| Total Proposed Project/Vehicle/Equipment | \$3,987,307 | \$3,881,809 | \$1,186,500 | \$489,000 | \$395,000 | \$9,939,616 |

City of Mexico Beach Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan

| - [| Project Description | 16/17 | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 5-Yr Totals |
|---------|---|-------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Ī | Public Works | | | | | | |
| 1 | Proposed Funding Sources: | | | | | | |
| | Operations | \$458.898 | \$230,000 | \$490,000 | \$285,000 | \$240.000 | \$1,703,898 |
| | Utility Tax | 19,967 | | | | | 19,967 |
| Γ | Federal/State Grants and Aid | 2,200,000 | 2,747,309 | 500,000 | | | 5,447.309 |
| | Impact Fees | 0 | | | | | |
| | Fund Balance/Transfers from other funds | 600,000 | 750,000 | 100,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 1,550,000 |
| Ţ | otal Proposed Funding Sources | \$3.278,865 | \$3,727,309 | \$1,090,000 | \$335,000 | \$290,000 | \$8,721,174 |
| ş | Proposed Capital Projects/Vehicles/Equipment: | | | | | | |
| \Box | Canal Improvement | \$2,000,000 | | | | | \$2,000,000 |
| - | Land based dredge, improvements to sand by pass system, permitting of vehicular | | 1,155,000 | | | į | 1,155,000 |
| + | sand bypassing and improvements to east and west jetties Dredge Repairs | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 250,000 |
| | Canal - Push Boat & Motor | 22,370 | | | | | 22,370 |
| | City Hall | 550,000 | | | | | 550,000 |
| + | Municipal Park Parker Park | 50,000 150,000 | | 5,000 10,000 | 5,000 10,000 | 5,000 10,000 | 65,000 180,000 |
| + | Alternative Water Source | 130,000 | 1,592,309 | 70,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 1,592,309 |
| \perp | 15th Street Sidewalk & Pedestrian Bridge | | | 500,000 | | | 500,000 |
| - | Wastewater Improvements (infiltration) | 80,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 40,000 | 240,000 |
| + | City Yard Expansion Road & Street - Bush Hog | 3,331 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 200,000 3,331 |
| 1 | Road & Street - Mower | 8.318 | | | | | 8,318 |
| | Road & Street - Pave Oleander/9th St & North 30th Street | 50,000 | | | | | 50,000 |
| - | Sanitation - Garbage Truck | 160,000 | 140,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 | 450,000 |
| + | Sanitation - Dumpsiers Water - Truck | 10,000 33,264 | - | 25,000 | | | 10,000 58,264 |
| | Sewer - Truck | 33,264 | | | | | 33,264 |
| | Sewer - Jetter | 70,000 | | | | | 70,000 |
| + | Park - Lawn Mower Stormwater Improvements/Drainage for City | 8,318 | 300,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 8,318 390,000 |
| - | Road & Street - Repaye Oleander 8th Street to Sea Street | | 400,000 | 30,000 | 307,000 | 30,000 | 400,000 |
| | Road & Street - Truck | | | 25,000 | | | 25,000 |
| 1 | Road & Street - Truck | | | 25,000 | 00.000 | | 25,000 |
| | Parks - Truck Canal Truck | | | | 20,000 25,000 | | 20,000 25,000 |
| _ | City Buildings | | | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 30,000 |
| | City Pier | | | 150,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 170,000 |
| + | Boat Ramp Restroom | | | 30,000 | 90.000 | | 30,000 |
| + | Water Tower Repairs/Cleaning One Million Gallon Tank Repairs/Cleaning | | | 60,000 30,000 | 20,000 15,000 | 20,000 15,000 | 100,000 60,000 |
| 十 | One want of Caron Tank Reports Oceaning | | | | ,0,000 | 70,0001 | 0,000 |
| - | | | | | | | 0 |
| | | | | | | | 0 |

City of Mexico Beach Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan

| 39,000 115,500 \$154,500 \$39,000 | \$7,500 \$96,500 | | 39,000 52,000 \$91,000 | 169,07 424,36 |
|--|---------------------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 115,500 \$154,500 | \$7,500 \$96,500 | 104,000 | 52.000 | 169,0 424,30 |
| 115,500 \$154,500 | \$7,500 \$96,500 | 104,000 | 52.000 | 169,03 424,36 |
| 115,500 \$154,500 | \$7,500 \$96,500 | 104,000 | 52.000 | 424,36 |
| \$154,500 | \$96,500 | | | |
| \$154,500 | \$96,500 | | | |
| \$154,500 | \$96,500 | | | |
| | | \$143,000 | \$91,000 | |
| | | <u> </u> | \$51,000 | \$593,4 |
| \$39,000 | | | | 4030,4 |
| \$39,000 | | | | , <u>.</u> |
| | \$39,000 | \$39,000 | \$39,000 | \$169,0 |
| |] | | | \$38,0 |
| 0.000 | | 0.000 | 0.000 | \$9.0 |
| 6,000 15,000 | | 6,000 | 6,000 | 24,0 15,0 |
| 3,000 | | | | 6.0 |
| 15,000 | | | | 15,0 |
| 10,000 | ` | 20,000 | | 20.0 |
| 46,000 | 46,000 | 46,000 | 46.000 | 184,0 |
| 2,500 | | | | 5,0 |
| | | 4,000 | | 4,0 |
| 28,000 | | 28,000 | | 56,0 |
| | | | | 39,8 |
| | | | | 2,0 |
| | ļ | | | 2,0 |
| | ļI | | | 1,00 |
| | | | | 3,50 |
| | | | | |
| 154,500 | 96,500 | 143,000 | 91,000 | 593,44 |
| 154 | ,500 | \$,500 96.500 | \$,500 96.500 143,000 | \$,500 96.500 143,000 91,000 |

| Future Public Safety Projects | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|-------|----------|
| Public Safety/Shelter | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | |
| | | i | |
| Total Future Public Safety Projects | | | 0 |

\$395,000

\$290,000

91,000

14,000

\$395,000

\$9.939,616

\$8,721,174

\$9,939,616

593,442

625,000

City of Mexico Beach Five-Year Capital Improvement Plan

| Project Description | 16/17 | 17/18 | 18/19 | 19/20 | 20/21 | 5-Yr Totals |
|--|-----------|-------|--------------|----------|------------------|----------------|
| Administration | | | | | | |
| Proposed Funding Sources: | | | | | | |
| Operations | | | | \$11,000 | \$14,000 | \$25,0 |
| Bonds | | | | 011,000 | \$ 34,000 | Ψ20,¢ |
| Federal/State Grants and Aid | 600,000 | | - | | | 600.0 |
| impact Fees | 000,000 | | | | | 690,0 |
| Transfers from other funds | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | ******** |
| otal Funding Sources | \$600,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,000 | \$14,000 | \$625,0 |
| | | | | | | |
| roposed Capital Projects/Vehicles/Equipment: | | | | | | |
| Computer Upgrade | | | | \$3,000 | \$4,000 | \$7.0 |
| Server Upgrade | | | | 8,000 | | 8,0 |
| Copy Machine Upgrade | | | | | 10,000 | 10.0 |
| CDBG Housing Grant | 600,000 | | | | | 600,0 |
| | | - | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| otal Administration | \$600,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11,000 | \$14,000 | \$625,0 |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| uture Administration Projects | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| otal Future Administration Projects | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

\$3,987,307

\$3,278,865

108,442

600.000

\$3,987,307

\$3,881,809

\$3,727,309

\$3,881,809

154,500

\$1,186,500

\$1,090,000

\$1,186,500

96,500

\$489,000

\$335,000

143,000

11,000

\$489,000

| 1 | .1 |
|---|-----|
| ı | ~-4 |

Total Public Works

Total Public Safety

Total Administration

Total Proposed Funding Sources

Proposed Project/Vehicle/Equipment Summary:

Total Proposed Project/Vehicle/Equipment